

The word ABSTRACT (capitalized) should begin one inch from the top edge of the page. It must be printed in the same font and size as the rest of the paper. A single blank line should follow.

The title of your work should be typed exactly as it appears on the title page, single-spaced in mixed case letters.

After a single blank line, type your name (as it appears on your university record with appropriate punctuation), the title of your department followed by ", BYU", and the full name of your degree (all single-spaced).

After a single blank line, begin the body of the abstract, which should be single-spaced and double-spaced in between paragraphs.

Include pertinent place names and full names of people as well as descriptive words useful in automated retrieval. The last line of Keywords should end on the bottom one-inch margin.

SAMPLE ABSTRACT PAGE

ABSTRACT

Outcomes and Therapeutic Alliances in Senior Citizens Served in a Community Mental Health Setting

David A. Hill
Department of Psychology, BYU
Doctor of Philosophy

The abstract is a summary of the work with emphasis on the findings of the study. It must be single spaced and no more than one page in length. It must match the same font and size as the rest of the work. The abstract precedes the optional acknowledgment page and the body of the work.

All students should ensure that the keywords are listed at the bottom of the abstract.

Keywords: mental health, senior citizens, therapeutic alliances

The word "ACKNOWLEDGMENTS" (capitalized) should begin one inch from the top edge of the paper. It must be printed in the same font and size as the rest of the work.

Following one blank line, the text of the acknowledgments begins.

The text should be in the same font and style as the rest of the work.

SAMPLE ACKNOWLEDGMENTS PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This page is optional. Students may use the acknowledgments page to express appreciation for the committee members, friends, or family who provided assistance in research, writing, or technical aspects of the dissertation, thesis, or selected project. Acknowledgments should be simple and in good taste.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| LIST OF TABLES | vi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | vii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 HydroShare Hydrologic and GeoSpatial Data Services | 9 |
| 2.1 Data Services Framework | 9 |
| 2.2 Data Services Implementation | 11 |
| 2.3 HydroShare Web Services Manager | 18 |
| 2.3.1 Web Services Manager Design | 18 |
| 2.3.2 Web Services Manager Implementation | 20 |
| 2.4 GeoServer | 21 |
| 2.4.1 GeoServer Background | 21 |
| 2.4.2 GeoServer Implementation | 22 |
| 2.5 Water Data Server | 27 |
| 2.5.1 Water Data Server Background | 27 |
| 2.5.2 Water Data Server Design | 29 |
| 2.5.3 Water Data Server Implementation | 34 |
| 2.5.4 Water Data Server Future Work | 37 |
| 3 Applications and Use Cases | 38 |
| 3.1 Geographic Information System Interoperability | 38 |
| 3.2 Jupyter Notebook | 41 |
| 3.2.1 HydroShare Jupyter Notebook Server | 41 |
| 3.2.2 Time Series Data Viewer Notebook | 42 |
| 3.3 HydroShare Data Viewer App | 44 |
| 3.3.1 Previous Work: HydroShare GIS and the Data Series Viewer | 44 |
| 3.3.2 HydroShare Data Viewer Design | 45 |
| 3.4 HydroShare Time Series Manager App | 49 |
| 4 Conclusion | 52 |
| References | 54 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Appendix A. | HydroShare Data Services Installation | 58 |
| A.1 | Web Services Manager Installation..... | 58 |
| A.2 | GeoServer Installation..... | 59 |
| A.3 | Water Data Server Installation | 60 |
| Appendix B. | Application User Documentation | 62 |
| B.1 | HydroShare Data Viewer User Instructions..... | 62 |
| B.2 | HydroShare Time Series Manager User Instructions..... | 63 |

SAMPLE

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Manufactured Carbon/Epoxy Composite Rebar. | 2 |
| 2.1 | Two Bobbins Applying Kevlar Consolidation to Rebar. | 9 |
| 2.2 | Inline Oven and Pulley System. | 10 |
| 2.3 | LECO Circular Saw with Diamond Coated Blade. | 11 |
| 2.4 | Shape of Granusil Sand Used for Sand Coating. | 12 |
| 2.5 | Carbon/Epoxy Rebar Prior to Sand Coating. | 12 |
| 2.6 | Carbon/Epoxy Rebar with Sand Coating. | 13 |
| 2.7 | Cross Sectional Dimensions of Concrete Beams. | 15 |
| 2.8 | Completed Form with Rebar Placement. | 15 |
| 2.9 | Dimensions of Form Used to Pour Concrete Beams. | 16 |
| 2.10 | Concrete Beams Curing in Form with Plastic Sheet Cover. | 17 |
| 2.11 | Dimensions and Details for Layout of Four-Point Bending Test. | 18 |
| 2.12 | Four-Point Bending Test Shear and Moment Conditions. | 19 |
| 2.13 | Spacing of String Potentiometers Along Underside of Beams. | 20 |
| 2.14 | Four-Point Bending Concrete Beam Test on the MTS System with Attached String Potentiometers. | 20 |
| 3.1 | Concrete Beam Positioned Upside Down to Display Voids Beneath Reinforcement. . . | 27 |
| 3.2 | Horizontal Cracking in the Concrete Beam Suggests Premature Bond Failure. | 27 |
| 3.3 | Load vs Displacement of American Fiberglass (GA) Reinforced Concrete Beams During Bending Test. | 29 |
| 3.4 | Deflection Progression of Specimen GA-1 During Bending Test. | 29 |
| 3.5 | Deflection Progression of Specimen GA-5 During Bending Test. | 30 |
| 3.6 | Deflection of GA Specimens Under 4 kip Bending Load. | 30 |
| 3.7 | Average Deflection Shape of American Fiberglass (GA) Reinforced Concrete Beams at Various Loads. | 31 |
| 3.8 | Load vs Displacement of BYU Fiberglass (GB) Reinforced Concrete Beams During Bending Test. | 32 |
| 3.9 | Average Deflection Shape of BYU Fiberglass (GB) Reinforced Concrete Beams at Various Loads. | 32 |
| 3.10 | Load vs Displacement of IsoTruss Industries (CI) Carbon/Epoxy Reinforced Concrete Beams During Bending Test. | 33 |
| 3.11 | Average Deflection Shape of IsoTruss Industries (CI) Carbon/Epoxy Reinforced Concrete Beams. | 34 |
| 3.12 | Load vs Displacement of BYU Carbon/Epoxy (CB) Reinforced Concrete Beams During Bending Test. | 35 |
| 3.13 | Average Deflection Shape of BYU Carbon/Epoxy (CB) Reinforced Concrete Beams at Various Loads. | 35 |
| 3.14 | Load vs Displacement of Steel Reinforced Concrete Beams During Bending Test. . . . | 36 |
| 3.15 | Average Deflection Shape of Steel Reinforced Concrete Beams at Various Loads. . . . | 37 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.1 | SEM images of vertically aligned carbon nanotubes. | 1 |
| 1.2 | Thin film infiltration will only deposit material on exposed surfaces of the porous CNT forest, which will naturally result in retaining pores, compared to a liquid infiltration which will fill all voids assuming enough fluid is present. | 2 |
| 1.3 | Examples of CNT-M structures (carbon infiltrated, patterned VACNTs). (A) Large angle rotational joint [7]. (B) Constant force mechanism [8]. (C) 2-DOF wrist joint from stacked carbon infiltrated CNTs [9]. (D) Compliant cell restraint [10]. (E) Planar prototype of a coronary stent [11]. | 3 |
| 2.1 | SEM images of a structure resulting from e-beam deposition of 1 μm of aluminum oxide on a bare CNT forest. Substrate was rotating relative to the material source, resulting in the cone-shaped deposition. | 6 |
| 2.2 | Diagram of process to produce stacked VACNTs with a lithographically patterned top layer. Where ICCNT refers to initially coupled carbon nanotubes. (1) Prepare standard CNT growth catalyst film stack (iron on alumina) on silicon substrate. (2) Ultrasonically spray CNTs onto the prepared catalyst. (3) Growth of VACNTs that lift the sprayed CNTs above them. (4) Light CVD carbon infiltration to reinforce the structure. (5) Spin, expose, and develop photoresist using standard processes on the top surface of the structure. (6) Deposit the same CNT catalyst film stack on top of the ICCNT forest. (7) Standard liftoff procedure to leave a patterned catalyst layer. (8) Growth of VACNTs on ICCNT support resulting in a highly porous, three-dimensional CNT template. | 8 |
| 2.3 | SEM images of ICCNT film demonstrating the height and porosity of the underlying CNT forest while maintaining a smooth and low roughness surface. | 9 |
| 2.4 | Lithographically patterned VACNTs grown on top of a 100 μm tall, unpatterned CNT forest | 9 |
| 2.5 | Example of GS sample showing deformed VACNTs caused by ultrasonic spray deposition of CNTs onto the as grown CNT forest. | 11 |
| 2.6 | Example AFM profiles from the 100 μm x 100 μm scans of G (CNT growth only) and SGI (Spray, Growth, Infiltrate) samples. These profiles show the calculated roughness for SGI samples is dominated by lower frequency waviness compared to the G sample, which appears rougher in the profile. | 12 |
| 2.7 | Comparison of VACNT and ICCNT forests. | 13 |
| 2.8 | Comparison of top surface of VACNT and ICCNT forests. | 13 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1-1: Depth to Water Table at Well 374134113085901 near Cedar City, UT..... | 3 |
| Figure 2-1: View of Utah Aquifers in Groundwater Level Mapping Tool..... | 8 |
| Figure 2-2: Time Series View in Groundwater Level Mapping Tool | 9 |
| Figure 2-3: Depth to Water Table in Beryl-Enterprise Aquifer in December, 1949 | 10 |
| Figure 2-4: Depth to Water Table in Beryl-Enterprise Aquifer in December, 2014 | 10 |
| Figure 2-5: Drawdown from December, 1944 to 2014 in Beryl-Enterprise Aquifer | 11 |
| Figure 2-6: Aquifer Storage Curve for Beryl-Enterprise Aquifer | 11 |
| Figure 2-7: Inconsistent Sampling Rate at Well 374248113075201 near Cedar City, UT | 12 |
| Figure 2-8: Example PCHIP Interpolation | 13 |
| Figure 2-9: Resampled Values Obtained from PCHIP Interpolation | 14 |
| Figure 2-10: Correlation between Wells in the Cedar Valley Aquifer..... | 15 |
| Figure 2-11: Correlation between Wells in the Inkomati Water Management Unit..... | 16 |
| Figure 2-12: Example of Well Time Series that does not Cover Period of Interest | 17 |
| Figure 2-13: Well with Missing Data Plotted with Correlated Reference Wells | 18 |
| Figure 2-14: Normalized Target Well with Normalized Correlated Reference Wells | 19 |
| Figure 2-15: Well Time Series Modelled by Multi-Linear Regression..... | 21 |
| Figure 2-16: Depth to Groundwater at Well 373644113411501 near Beryl, Utah | 22 |
| Figure 2-17: Depth to Groundwater at Well 37349113434201 near Beryl, Utah | 22 |
| Figure 2-18: Depth to Groundwater at Well 37349113434201 near Beryl, Utah | 22 |
| Figure 2-19: Soil Moisture Simulated by GLDAS near Beryl, Utah..... | 22 |
| Figure 2-20: Schematic of Extreme Learning Machine..... | 26 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | Roughness and surface area difference results from experimental samples G, GI, GIS, S, SG, and SGI from AFM measurements over different scan areas. Each scan was 512 by 512 measurement points. | 10 |
| 3.1 | Nanoinjection results summary comparing CNT-M to silicon. Values are calculated using Equations 3.1 and 3.2. | 45 |
| 4.1 | Summary of parameters relevant to the interpretation of Figure 4.6. CVD Si refers to the time of LPCVD silicon deposition. Wrinkled samples exhibit large, wavy deformations as shown in Figure 4.7. All burnout was performed at 900 °C. “SGI” is a sample of CNTs that was grown below a sprayed layer of CNTs but was coated with 70 sec of CVD amorphous carbon rather than LPCVD silicon. | 53 |
| 4.2 | Summary of compression test results, particularly the estimated Young’s modulus of the material. The “Corrected” modulus was included due to a calibration issue with the mechanical testing setup. Measured slopes were multiplied by a factor that brought the silicon reference to expected modulus, additional details given in the mechanical testing methodology. With this correction the estimated Young’s modulus is roughly equivalent to silica with 65.2 % porosity. Burnout was performed at 900 °C. All samples, except the silicon reference, experienced 20 min of LPCVD silicon. | 54 |
| 5.1 | Fitting coefficients for artangent equation 5.9. | 76 |
| 5.2 | Robustness analysis results from variation in three parameters: CLAHE clip limit, CLAHE tile size, and blur kernal size. Base parameters were 5.0, (15,15), and (7,7). The mean and standard deviation are taken from all calculations using different parameters. 20 µm x 20 µm tiles, taken from the center of images with varying degrees of infiltration, were used for parameter testing. | 99 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 3-1: RMSE Values for Time Series Prediction for Ten Wells in Cedar Valley Aquifer.... | 48 |
| Table 3-2: Error Values for MLR Estimate Compared to Kriging Estimate for Ten Wells in Cedar Valley Aquifer..... | 49 |
| Table 3-3: Map IDs for 5 Wells Wells in Cedar Valley Aquifer..... | 50 |
| Table 3-4: RMSE Values for ELM Time Series Prediction for Five Wells in Cedar Valley Aquifer..... | 55 |
| Table 3-5: NRMSE Values for ELM Time Series Prediction for Five Wells in Cedar Valley Aquifer..... | 55 |
| Table 3-6: NRMSE Values for ELM Time Series Prediction for Ten Wells in Beryl Enterprise Aquifer..... | 59 |
| Table 3-7: NRMSE Values for Time Series Models in the Ogallala Aquifer | 68 |
| Table 3-8: Mean and Median Absolute Percent Error for 417 Wells in the Ogallala Aquifer | 72 |
| Table 3-9: Mean and Median Absolute Error for 417 Wells in the Ogallala Aquifer | 72 |